Performance Evaluation of TCP over multi-path routing protocol using different TCP variant in mobile ad hoc networks

Prof. K. S. Solanki #1, Pratap Singh Katara#2

khemsingh_solanki@rediffmail.com#1

pratap.katara43@yahoo.in#2

Abstract:

Mobile ad hoc networks (MANETs) can be represented as a fancy distributed systems, which consists of wireless mobile nodes. and the nodes are freely and effectively move and self-organize within the given topology. With the above scenario they form random and momentary ad-hoc" network topologies. By simply doing so they allow devices to spontaneously internetwork in areas with no pre-existing communication infrastructure. Nowadays a day's Mobile advertisement hoc networks are becoming very much important. Because they may be implemented in cureless interconnection without the need of traditional fixed infrastructure sites. Such networks are generally consisting of highly mobile nodes, which are mobile with in specific covering up area and can be linked dynamically in an arbitrary manner. They have provided new challenges, which is the result of the initial characteristics of the wireless medium and the dynamic nature of the network topology.

The purpose of this paper is to evaluate the performance of TCP over multi-path routing protocol such as DSDV, using different TCP variant in mobile ad hoc networks.

Keywords: MANETs, DSDV, TCP, topologies, NS-2, CWND,

Introduction:

Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) is a connection oriented point-to-point protocol. It is a means for building a reliable communications stream on the top of the unreliable Internet Protocol (IP). TCP is the process that supports practically all Internet applications.

The TCP convention, which was beforehand created for dependable end-to-end information conveyance of over temperamental wired systems. by overlooking the properties of remote Interim Networks, can prompt TCP usage with poor execution. In MANETs, the significant issue of TCP lies in performing clog control if there should be an occurrence of misfortunes which are not created by system blockage. Since touch blunder rates are fantastically low in wired systems, all TCP renditions envision supply misfortunes are because of system blockage. Thus, every time a parcel is recognized to be lost, either by timeout or by numerous copied ACKs, TCP diminishes the sending rate by modifying its clog home window size. At the point when TCP is executed in MANETs, since out of request conveyance of parcels are thought to be diminishing of group, without the system gets to be congested, TCP lessens the clog

window size, which debases TCPs execution a considerable measure.

The execution of TCP corrupts in Interim systems. This is on the grounds that TCP confronts new issues because of numerous reasons, which are particular to MANETs: parcel level multipath steering, course vacillating, normal parallelism in cutting edge fast switches, join layer retransmissions, and switch sending hushes.

Because of scope of movement of hubs powerlessness may happen, course henceforth sessions brought about by TCP sender may not precisely get the opportunity to arrange at the TCP recipient, which implies courses in MANETs, are fleeting because of continuous connection breakages. To decrease delay because of course re-calculation and customer versatility, some directing conventions, for example, AODV keep up different courses between a sender-collector pair. In such a case, bundles drawing nearer from various ways may not precisely touch base at the gadget to be capable. Being ignorant of multi-way directing, TCP gadget would consider such out-of-request bundle landings as an indication of clog. The recipient will in this way make copy ACKs that bring about the sender to utilize blockage control calculations like quick (endless supply of three copy ACKs), which corrupts TCP"s execution a great deal.

In TCP, the two hosts (sender host and receiver host) that want to connect with the other person for a certain time frame, first they handshake together. Handshaking

contains three phases. The sender first sends a special TCP segment (only the TCP header and IP header) to the recipient; at this point there is no need to deliver data. Receiver realizes and sends another special segment. Finally, the sender acknowledges the special part from the receiver. Shape installment payments on your 2 shows the 3 phases of handshaking. The sender host passes data through sockets, and then TCP directs these data to the send stream. TCP takes a stop of data from the send buffer. The utmost amount of the block of data is limited by the utmost Segment Size (MSS)[1,2]. TCP encapsulates each block out of sender's process data with TCP header and forms a TCP section. When TCP receives a segment, the segment's data is put in the receive buffer of the connection. The applying reads data from this buffer. A TCP connection involves buffers, variables and an outlet connection to a process in a single web host and another group of buffers, variables and socket interconnection to a process in another host. No buffers or variables are allotted to the connection in the network elements (such as routers) between two hosts. If a certain time, called timeout, has passed without acknowledgement, a new connection request is sent.

Related Work:

TCP-Reno [6] is an implementation of TCP employed by most networks today. It uses different over-crowding control algorithms. They include Congestion Avoidance mechanisms, Fast Recovery, Fast Retransmit and Slow Start. TCP-Reno makes use of data loss in the network to estimate the

available bandwidth in the network. It activates Slow-moving Start process in the start of a TCP connection as well as after timeouts during the connection.

TCP-New Reno is a variant of Reno with an improved Fast Recovery (FR) algorithm in order to resolve the additional time problem where multiple bouts are lost from the same window. Congestion Control components of TCP-New Sparks and TCP-Reno are the same.. However PA acknowledges only some of the spectacular data. TCP New Sparks unlike Reno can restore from multiple segment failures by retransmitting only one lost segment in the same window per RTT and remains in Fast Recovery unless and until a full ACK is received [7].

Band width Estimation scheme employed by TCP Vegas is more useful than any other TCP[10,11] variants. This scheme makes bandwidth estimation utilizing the difference between the expected flow rates and the actual flow rates. This extends TCP-Reno by adjusting its Congestion Avoidance system. Like TCP-Reno it uses Slow Start and Fast Retransmission. Also Retransmission mechanism employed by TCP-Vegas is more effective as compared to TCP-Reno as it retransmits the corresponding packet the moment it receives a single repeat ACK and does not wait for three ACKs. TCP-Vegas as compared to TCP-Reno is more 18 accurate and is also less hostile, thus that reduce their CWND unnecessarily [8,9].

Selective Acknowledgement (SACK) like Reno encounters the challenge of multiple data loss. Even so in TCP-SACK acknowledgement is merely provided for the discerning segments which have recently been received successfully [6,7. For situations where multiple packet losses occur in a superb data window, TCP-Sack outperforms standard TCP. Even so scheme implemented by TCP-SACK[12,13] is not efficient for situations where sender's home window has small size.

Wang and Zhang developed TCP with detection of out-of-order and response (TCP-DOOR) [9], that can be considered as an extension of TCP-sack. The out-of-order events are deemed to imply way changes in the systems, which happen frequently in mobile ad hoc systems.TCP-DOOR[14,15] does not distinguish between forward-path reordering or reverse-path reordering. The responses are suitable to alleviate some performance problems caused by forwardpath reordering. Besides, TCP DOOR does not perform well in a congested network environment with substantial consistent packet reordering. It hinders congestion control for a time period whenever an outof-order event is diagnosed, which may lead to congestion collapse from undelivered packets.

Implementation: The simulations were done using NS-2, version 2.34 in Windows 7 Environment.

Propose Work:

A subtle element reenactment model in light of NS-2 has been utilized as a part of the assessment, and so as to splendidly assess the impact of out-of-request parcel while multi-way steering convention is utilized

and see the impact of executing TCP-VARIENTS reproduction distinctive situations have been utilized. The NS-2 test system bolsters for recreating remote systems comprises of various system parts including physical, information connection, and medium access control (MAC) layer models. From channel sort, a remote channel model with a 250m-transmission range has been picked. IEEE 802.11 for remote systems is utilized as the MAC layer convention. All bundles (both information and directing) sent by the steering layer are lined at the interface line until the MAC layer can transmit them. The interface line has a greatest size of 50 parcels and is functioned as a need line. There are two needs each served in First In First Out (FIFO) way, which implies Routing parcels have higher need than information bundles. The steering convention that has been executed at the system layer is AODV which should deliver out-of-request parcel as an aftereffect of utilizing multi-way course amongst sender and collector.

The workload is a single TCP connection between a specific sender S (node 0) and a specific receiver R (node 9). As shown in Fig 1.

Fig 1. Simulation parameter

Parameter	Value
Topography Dimension	500m×500m
MAC Protocol	IEEE 802.11
Queue Size	50
Routing protocol	AODV
Traffic source and application	FTP Over TCP
Data packets Size	1040 byte
Acknowledgments	40 byte
TCP Variants	Reno, Newreno, Sack ,Door
Time of Simulation	200sec

For traffic source and application, File Transport Protocol (FTP) is used above the agent TCP. The source and destination that have been used throughout the simulations were TCP-SACK and TCP-SINK-SACK respectively. The source-destination pairs are spread randomly over the network. The data generator is FTP.1040 byte data packets are used from sender to receiver and 40 byte acknowledgments are used from receiver to sender.

Mobility models were created for the simulations using 11 nodes, and this model was set in such a way that first all the 20 nodes were provided with initial location in the given rectangular topography field. The field configuration used is: 500 m x 500 m field. Then all the nodes move within their boundary by setting their final destination and the speed that each node move with. The speed was chosen randomly between 0 and 15m/s and 20 mobile nodes have been used in the simulation. All the simulations are run for 200 simulated seconds. Different

mobility and identical traffic scenarios are used across the protocol to collect fair results. As shown in Fig 2.

Fig 2. Simulation model



The simulation was performed for each TCP-Variants. After each simulation, trace files recording the packets that are sent and received by the TCP sender and receiver, packets that are forwarded by the routing protocol implemented, and that are queued and dequeued by Interface queue. These files need to be parsed in order to extract the information needed to measure the proposed performance metrics. We have also used Network Animator and xgraph in order to analyze the simulation results visually. As shown in Fig 3.

Fig 3 Simulation Trace format

File Call Call Carlo Paste Find Paste Pas	AODV.tr (~/AODV/AODV IKreno) - gedit	_ = ×
Open Save Open Open <th< td=""><td>File Edit View Search Documents Help</td><td></td></th<>	File Edit View Search Documents Help	
Q ADOVE * M 10.00000 0 (5.00, 5.00, 0.00), (100.00, 50.00), 5.00 M 10.00000 1 (000.00, 150.00, 0.00), (220.00, 150.00), 15.00 S 10.0000000 10 (100.00, 150.00, 0.00), (221.00, 150.00), 15.00 S 10.00000000 0 0 AGT 0 tcp 40 [0 0 0 0] [0:0 9:0 32 0] [0 0] 0 0 S 10.00000000 0 0 RTR 0 ADDV 48 [0 fffffffff 0 800] [0:255 -1:255 30 0] [0x2 1 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) S 10.000000000 0 0 RTR 0 ADDV 48 [0 ffffffff 0 800] [0:255 -1:255 30 0] [0x2 1 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) S 10.000000000 0 0 RTR 0 ADDV 48 [0 ffffffff 0 800] [0:255 -1:255 30 0] [0x2 1 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) S 10.000000000 0 0 RTR 0 ADDV 48 [0 ffffffff 0 800] [0:255 -1:255 30 0] [0x2 1 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) S 10.000000000 0 RTR 0 ADDV 48 [0 ffffffff 0 800] [0:255 -1:255 30 0] [0x2 1 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) S 10.0000000000 0 RTR 0 ADDV 48 [0 ffffffff 0 800] [0:255 -1:255 30 0] [0x2 1 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) S 10.00000000000000000000000000000000000	Image: Save Print Undo Save Print Undo Redo Cut Copy Paste Find Replace	
M 10.000000 1 (100.00, 70.00), (200.0, 150.00), 5.00 M 10.000000 1 (100.00, 70.00, 0.00), (221.00, 150.00), 15.00 M 10.000000 0 _0 AGT 0 tcp 40 [0 0 0] [0:0 9:0 32 0] [0 0] 0 0 r 10.00000000 0 _0 AGT 0 tcp 40 [0 0 0] [0:0 9:0 32 0] [0 0] 0 0 r 10.00000000 0 _0 AGT 0 tcp 40 [0 0 0] [0:0 9:0 32 0] [0 0] 0 0 r 10.00000000 0 _0 AGT		
r 10.005401431 _2 MAC 0 AODV 48 [0 ffffffff a 800] [10:255 -1:255 29 0] [0x2 2 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) r 10.005401462 _3 MAC 0 AODV 48 [0 ffffffff a 800] [10:255 -1:255 29 0] [0x2 2 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) r 10.005401702 _3 MAC 0 AODV 48 [0 ffffffff a 800] [10:255 -1:255 29 0] [0x2 2 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) L =	□ Acovrr * □ Acovrr * M 10.00000 0 (5.00, 5.00, 0.00), (100.00, 50.00), 5.00 M 10.00000 1 (100.00, 70.00, 0.00), (200.00, 160.00), 15.00 s 10.0000000 0 (100.00, 150.00, 0.00), (221.00, 150.00), 15.00 s 10.00000000 0 (0 AGT 0 tcp 40 [0 0 0 0] [0:0 9:0 32 0] [0 0] 00 s 10.00000000 0 (0 AGT 0 tcp 40 [0 0 0 0] [0:0 9:0 32 0] [0 0] 00 s 10.00000000 0 (0 AGT 0 tcp 40 [0 0 0 0] [0:0 9:0 32 0] [0 0] 00 s 10.00000000 0 (0 AGT 0 tcp 40 [0 0 0 0]	
r 10.005401702 _3_ MAC 0 AODV 48 [0 ffffffff a 800] [10:255 -1:255 29 0] [0x2 2 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST)	$\begin{bmatrix} r & 10,005401431 & 2 \\ r & 10,005401451 & 2 \\ mAC & & 0 & ADDV 48 [0 TfTTTTTT a 800] & [10,255 -1,255 29 0] [0x2 2 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) \\ r & 10,005401465 & & 0 & ADDV 48 [0 TfTfffff 8 800] & [10,255 -1,255 29 0] [0x2 2 1 [9 0] [0 4]] (REQUEST) \\ r & 10,005401465 & & & & \\ r & 10,005401465 & & & & \\ r & 10,005401465 & & & \\ r & 10,005401465 & & & \\ r & 10,005401465 &$	
	$[1 \ 10.005401702 \ 3 \ Mac 0 \ AODV 48 \ [0 \ fffffffff a \ 800] \ [10:255 \ -1:255 \ 29 \ 0] \ [0x2 \ 21 \ [9 \ 0] \ [0 \ 4]] \ (REQUEST)$	-
	Ln 1. Col 1	INS
💌 👔 root 🔰 🗆 [Nam Console v1.14] 🔰 📁 AODV 🛛 🗍 🎦 AODV IKreno 📄 🍞 AODV/r (~/AODV/AODV I) 📝 👘 👘 🖤	🗶 🗄 🕼 reot 🔰 💭 MODV 🕼 🗁 AODV 👘 🖓 AODV IKreno 👘 🖓 AODV IKreno	9

Results:

Cwnd Vs Simulation Time

the congestion window size [CWND] OF Different Variants with the 200 simulated times, as per the previous discussion, CWND means the maximum number of window size that can be sent by the TCP sender at a time. In between 0 and 50 simulation seconds the sender sent a maximum of CWND Approximately 40. And same CWND was achieved at a

simulation time of 100.between simulation time 100-170 CWND is Above 65 and up to 110, Whenever after 170 the CWND reduces drastically, it means packets are reached out-of-order in which the TCP receiver produces either three duplicate acknowledgment the expected or acknowledgment is not come within the retransmission time out period. If we analyze these variants the highest CWND is achieved by DOOR after that FACK then New reno, it means Door is more accurately send the packet from source to destination. as shown in Fig 4

Fig 4. Cwnd Vs Simulation Time



Throughput of Variants Vs Simulation Time

For the Simulation scenario used in project, the performance metrics Throughput is plotted with respect to the simulation time. Fig. 5 Throughput of Variants Vs Simulation Time



Simulation run for 200 second by varying time from 40 to 200s using AODV routing protocol in which blue with cross represent DOOR,Gray with triangle is reno, violet with cross is newreno, red with square is fack and blue with square is sack TCP variants.

By analysis of the above graph it has been observed that ZDoor gives better performance in compare to the other variants, whenever Fack throughput low in compare to all of other variants.

Goodput of Variants Vs Simulation Time

For the Simulation scenario used in project, the performance metrics involve Goodput which is plotted with respect to the simulation time.

Fig. 6 Good put Vs Simulation time



Simulation run for 200 second by varying time from 40 to 200s using AODV routing protocol in which blue with cross represent DOOR,Gray with triangle is reno, violet with cross is newreno, red with square is fack and blue with square is sack TCP variants.

We observe from the graph that Door provide highest goodput then reno is second varients which shows higher goodput, all other provide average whenever fack has lowest goodput.

Packet loss Ratio of TCP-Variants Vs Simulation Time

For the Simulation scenario used in project, the performance metrics involve Packet Loss Ratio which is plotted with respect to the simulation time.

Packet Packet Loss Ratio 1.6 1.4 1.2 sack PLR 0.8 fack 0.6 -reno 0.4 newreno 0.2 -door 0 40 80 120 160 200 Simulation Time (Second)

Simulation run for 200 second by varying time from 40 to 200s using AODV routing protocol in which blue with cross represent DOOR, Gray with triangle is reno, violet with cross is Newreno, red with square is fack and blue with square is sack TCP variants.

If We observe the graph shows Door has lowest packet loss ratio means it transfer maximum packet from sender to receiver then reno is second varients which shows lower packet loss ratio, all other provide average whenever fack has highest PLR.

Throughput Vs Speed of nodes

In this scenario we vary the speed of nodes from 5 to 25 m/s then calculate throughput.

Fig. 7 Packet Loss Ratio Vs Simulation time

Fig 8. Throughput Vs Speed of nodes



Different color scheme are used to represent different TCP variants in which we observe that the tcp-door gives highest throughput then Newreno and fack give lowest throughput.

in this graph blue with cross represent DOOR, Gray with triangle is reno, violet with cross is Newreno, red with square is fack and blue with square is sack TCP variants.

Good put Vs Speed of nodes

For the Simulation scenario used in project, the performance metrics involve Goodput which is plotted with respect to the speed of Nodes.

Goodput 50.05 50 49.95 49.9 49.85 🔶 Fack Goodput 49.8 - Reno 49.75 49.7 Newreno 49.65 × Sack 49.6 49.55 - Door 49.5 5 10 15 20 25

Speed of Nodes (m/s)

If we analyze the above graph clearly it shown that door provide highest goodput means we can say it performance is better in mobile ad hoc network in comparision with other varients.

Above graph include different colour-scheme in which blue with cross represent DOOR, Gray with triangle is reno, violet with cross is Newreno, red with square is fack and blue with square is sack TCP variants.

Packet Loss Ratio Vs Speed of nodes

In this graph we seen that what is PLR value if the speed of nodes are varying.

Fig. 9 Good put Vs Speed of nodes

Fig. 10 Packet Loss Ratio Vs Speed of nodes



From the graph it is clear that the value of PLR is much low in compare to other variants if we talk about average PLR then reno gives highest PLR. The color scheme used in it is same as used in previous graphs.

Conclusion:

In the propose work, distinctive variations of TCP over Mobile specially appointed system have been seen through reenactment. Through reenactment result and examination it is plainly demonstrated that TCP-DOOR which is essentially a reordering convention is performing better in specially appointed system in contrast with different variations it give normal higher blockage window, higher throughput, Goodput and Lowest Packet Loss Ratio, .this parameter are investigated under various recreation time furthermore with various Speed of hubs in any condition TCP-DOOR performs best among every one of them.

Future Work:

In this anticipate directing convention AODV is utilized which is multirouting convention, another steering convention like DSR,DSDV might be utilized for further comparision.another reordering calculation like RR,RN and so forth might be developed in future work.

References:

[1] M.Salmanian and M. Li, "Enabling secure and reliable policy-based routing in MANETs," presented at the military communications conference, MILCOM, 2012.

[2] F.R. Yu, H. Tang, S. Bu, and D. Zheng, "Security and quality of service (QoS) codesign in cooperative mobile ad hocnetworks," EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking -Special issue on wireless network security, 2013.

[3] R.Gujral, A. Kapil, and "", Volume,, pp "Secure QoS Enabled On-Demand Link-State Multipath Routing in MANETs," Information Processing and Management Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol. 70, pp. 250-257, 2010.

[4] A.El-Sayed, "Clustering Based Group Key Management for MANET," Advances in Security of Information and Communication, Networks Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol. 381, pp. 11-26, 2013.

[5] M.S. Zefreh, A. Fanian, S. M. Sajadieh, P.Khadivi, and M. Berenjkoub, "A Cluster-Based Key Establishment Protocol for Wireless Mobile Ad Hoc Networks," Advances in Computer Science and Engineering Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol. 6, pp. 585-592, 2009.

[6] L.Yingbin, H. V. Poor, and Y. Lei, "Secrecy Throughput of MANETs Under Passive and Active Attacks," Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 57, pp. 6692-6702, 2011.

[7] K.Gomathi and B. Parvathavarthini, "An efficient cluster based key management scheme for MANET with authentication," in Trendz in Information Sciences & Computing (TISC), 2010, 2010, pp. 202-205. [8] A.El-Sayed, "Clustering Based Group Key Management for MANET," in Advances in Security of Information and Communication Networks. vol. 381, A. Awad, A. Hassanien, and K. Baba, Eds., ed: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 11-26.

[9] W.El-Hajj, D. Kountanis, A. Al-Fuqaha, and M. Guizani, "Α Fuzzy-Based Hierarchical Energy Efficient Routing Protocol for Large Scale Mobile Ad Hoc Networks (FEER)," in Communications, ICC '06. IEEE 2006. International Conference on, 2006, pp. 3585-3590.

[10] M.Achankunju, R. Pushpalakshmi, and A. A. Kumar, "Particle swarm optimization based secure QoS clustering for mobile ad hoc network," in Communications and Signal Processing (ICCSP), 2013 International Conference on, 2013, pp. 315-320.

[11] Rajashree Paul. "Selective TCP for wired/wireless networks" Bachelor of Technology, University of Kalyani, 2002
[12] Ruy de Oliveira, Torsten Braun."TCP in Wireless Mobile Ad Hoc Networks." IAM-02-003 July 2002.

[13] Zhenqiang Ye, Srikanth V. Krishnamurthy, Satish K. Tripathi."Effects of Multipath Routing on TCP Performance in Ad Hoc Networks." Department of Electrical Engineering University of California, Riverside.

[14] Md. Abdullah-Al-Mamun, M. Mahbubur Rahman,Hwee-Pink Tan."Performance evaluation of TCP over routing protocols for 74 mobile ad hoc networks."Department of Information and Communication Engineering Islamic University Kushtia 7003, Bangladesh.

[15] Yu He, Cauligi S. Raghavendra, Steven Berson, Robert Braden." TCP Performance with Active Dynamic Source Routing for Ad Hoc Networks." USC Information Sciences Institute, Marina del Rey, CA 90292, USA.